UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

	United States of America v. Case No. 4:10CR3042 MARCUS ARNETT POLK, Defendant Defendant
	DETENTION ORDER PENDING SENTENCING
	After conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts hat the defendant be detained pending trial.
	Part I—Findings of Fact
□ (1) T	The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted
c	of \Box a federal offense \Box a state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if federal
	jurisdiction had existed - that is
	a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4)or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5) for which the prison term is 10 years or more.
	□ an offense for which the maximum sentence is death or life imprisonment.
	☐ an offense for which a maximum prison term of ten years or more is prescribed in
	a felony committed after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses:
	□ any felony that is not a crime of violence but involves:
	□ a minor victim
	☐ the possession or use of a firearm or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon
	□ a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250
□ (2)	The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state release or local offense.
□ (3)	A period of less than five years has elapsed since the □ date of conviction □ the defendant's release
	from prison for the offense described in finding (1).
□ (4)	Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition will reasonably assure the safe of another person or the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.
	Alternative Findings (A)
□ (1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed an offense

 \Box for which a maximum prison term of ten years or more is prescribed in

the defendant's appearance and the safety of the community.

The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition will reasonably assure

□ under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).

 \square (2)

Date:

June 28, 2011

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

Alternative Findings (B)
\Box (1) There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.
X (2) There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the safety of another person or the community.
Part II— Statement of the Reasons for Detention
I find that the testimony and information submitted at the detention hearing establishes by X clear and
convincing evidence a preponderance of the evidence that
The defendant poses a risk of harm if released. By defendant's own admission, the defendant was unsuccessfully discharged from his anger management program. After watching the defendant testify, I am convinced he is articulate and intelligent, and he uses those skills in an attempt to "spin" the facts, excuse or explain his misconduct, and manipulate others for his own benefit. He is not credible. The defendant was required to complete an anger management program due to his past history of aggressive behavior, and the risk that absent treatment, he poses a risk of harm if released. He has been unsuccessfully discharged from that program. He did not receive the benefit of successfully completing counseling, and remains a risk of harm to other.
Defendant's guilty plea has been accepted. Defendant is detained pending sentencing. See 18 USC \S 3143.
Part III—Directions Regarding Detention
The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

s/Cheryl R. Zwart
United States Magistrate Judge